



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/558,925	04/26/2000	John Albert Kembel	10351-0007	1658	
7590 05/13/2005 INNOVATION MANAGEMENT SCIENCES 47787 FREMONT BOULEVARD FREMONT, CA 94538			EXAMINER		
			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2143		
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 ::: 1



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comme	09/558,925	KEMBEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .				
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-68 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-68 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 31-68 are pending in this application; claims 31, 42, 50, and 62 independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 31-34, 37, 42-44, 46, and 50-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Furst (USPN 6,297,819).

3. Referring to claim 31, Furst discloses a method for presenting Internet content to a user of a computing device, comprising:

retrieving a first internet content that is programmed in a format readable by a web browser program (Figure 3; col. 7, line 35 to col. 8, line 46); and

rendering the first internet content to provide a visual manifestation of the first internet content on an output means of the computing device, wherein the visual manifestation of the first internet content is not confined by a window of a Web browser program, wherein the first Internet content comprises a definition of a frame for the visual manifestation (the Office takes the term "frame" to mean "outline or shape", and it must be inherent that the system of Furst to define a frame of the tool since third parties define the icon and download content from the internet which describe specifically how

Art Unit: 2143

to render the icon in the bar or the free form graphic in which to display the tool, see col. 7, lines 44-50) (Figure 5; col. 8, lines 39-46).

Page 3

- 4. Referring to claim 32, it is inherent that the definition of the frame is programmed in a format readable by a web browser program since if it is displayed by the web browser program it inherently must be programmed in a way such that the program is able to read the definition of the frame.
- 5. Referring to claims 33 and 34, Furst discloses the invention substantively as described in claims 31 and 32. Since claims 33 and 34 defines a second visual manifestation exactly the same as claims 31 and 32, and Furst discloses that multiple bar icons can be shown at the same (Figure 5, 502, 504, 506). Furst discloses anther second internet content which produces a second visual manifestation not confined by a window of a browser.
- 6. Referring to claim 37, Furst discloses the Internet content comprises JavaScript (Jscript) (col. 5, line 2).
- 7. Referring to claim 42, Furst discloses the invention substantively as described in the claims listed above. Furst furthermore discloses a second visual manifestation of a frame through which the first visual manifestation is presented (the tool icon and the definition of the frame as seen in Figure 5, 502, 504, 506).

Art Unit: 2143

8. Referring to claim 43, Furst discloses the receiving step comprises receiving the

Page 4

internet content from the web (Figure 3 and related portions of the disclosure).

9. Referring to claim 44, Furst discloses the receiving step comprises retrieving the

internet content from a memory of the computing device (it is understood that the web

page must be stored in memory before it can be rendered) (Figure 3).

10. Claim 46 is rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

11. Claims 50-68 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above since all limitations

taught by the newly added claims are either expressly taught or implied by Furst.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 35, 36, 38-41, and 45-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furst.

13. Referring to claims 35 36, and 45, Furst discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 31. Furst does not specify that the internet content comprises XML

Art Unit: 2143

codes and XML tags for the frame definition. However it is well known that XML code is commonly downloaded over the Internet (i.e. web pages) and that in defining the frames of these web pages, XML tags are used in order to correctly define the frame. By this rationale it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include XML tags to the system of Furst to provide a more robust method of coding the icons and window bars associated with the invention, thereby allowing another method to provide third parties to code applications easily.

Page 5

- 14. Referring to claims 38, Furst discloses the invention substantively as described above. Furst does not specifically disclose that JavaScript tags are used to define the frame of the window and bar. However it is well known that JavaScript has the capability of defining a frame for the tool and one of ordinary skill in the art would find it obvious to do so. By this rationale it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include JavaScript tags in the code in order to provide a more robust method of coding the icons and windows and a bar in order to provide a language in which many browsers understand, thereby increasing the accessibility of the invention.
- 15. Referring to claims 39-41 and 47-49, Furst discloses the invention substantively as described in claim 31. Furst does not disclose that the Internet content includes creating a media player, a calculator or accessing streaming media. However these functions are well known to exist and one of ordinary skill in the art (i.e. calculation applets are prevalent on the web, media players can be inserted into web browsers,

Page 6

which would stream media from servers) would find it obvious to be able to encode a visual manifestation of these applications in order to provide the viewing public a copy of the application, thereby increasing the knowledge of the general public.

Response to Arguments

- 16. Applicant hereby traverses the Office's assertions that various features of Applicant's invention is common knowledge to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 17. With regards to claims 35 and 45, Applicant is invited to look at Maslov (USPN 6,538,673) for the recitation that the first internet content comprises XML codes and tags (e.g. abstract).
- 18. With regard to claim 36, Applicant is invited to look at Morrison, Michael (XML Unleashed published December 21, 1999) Chapter 20 describes that JavaScript supports scripting XML and this would lead one of ordinary skill in the art for using XML codes and tags to define a frame which can be seen in ¶ 20.
- 19. With regards to claims 37, 38, and 46 Applicant is invited to look at Flanagan,
 David (JavaScript: The definitive Guide, 3rd edition published June, 1998) wherein
 JavaScript can be used to define the size and location of the frame of the page (section 13.7.1).

Art Unit: 2143

20. With regards to claims 39 and 47 Applicant is invited to look at Strandberg et al. (USPN 6,816,880) such that a calculator can be visualized outside of a window of a web browser program (i.e. popup calculator) (col. 17, lines 50-52). Furst discloses the recitation that the internet content of a frame for the visual manifestation is done by the Internet content (col. 12, lines 15-20) and it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Strandberg with Furst since Furst discloses that other kinds of component applications can be developed and distributed easily (col. 11, lines 15-20). This would lead one of ordinary skill in the art for other client tools to incorporate to the system of Furst, eventually finding Strandberg and its ability to utilize a radio button bar to initialize applets of various applications.

Page 7

21. With regards to claims 40, 41, 48, and 49, Applicant is invited to look at Nishizawa (USPN 6,842,779) such that a streaming media player can be visualized outside of a window of a web browser program. Applicant will find in col. 4, lines 26-35 the recitation that the agent program can emit music or video without running browser software. Furst discloses the recitation that the internet content of a frame for the visual manifestation is done by the Internet content (col. 12, lines 15-20) and it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Nishizawa with Furst since Furst discloses that other kinds of component applications can be developed and distributed easily (col. 11, lines 15-20). This would lead one of ordinary skill in the art for other client tools to incorporate to the system of Furst, eventually finding Nishizawa and its

Application/Control Number: 09/558,925 Page 8

Art Unit: 2143

novel method of agent programs which run autonomously without running browser software.

- 22. Applicant's arguments filed April 5, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 23. In the remarks, Applicant argues, in substance, that (1) the system of Furst, not the Internet content, define a frame of the tool.
- 24. As to point (1) although the Office agrees that the system of Furst defines the frame of the tool, Applicant is not recognizing the fact that Furst is, in fact, Internet content. Applicant's attention is directed to col. 7, lines 44-50). Applicant will find that the user must download (which inherently is in a format readable by a web browser program since it is displayed by the web browser program) a tool icon to be displayed by the client. Furthermore, at col. 16, lines 50-56, Furst discloses that the content of the bar depends on the specific tools being delivered by the service, which indicates it is browser readable content on the Internet. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

25. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2143

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/558,925 Page 10

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEA

April 26, 2005

DAVIO WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100